

Visualizing Literary Imagination: Nationalist Aesthetics and Regional Modernism in Ravishankar Raval's Munjal

Arvind Harikrishan Suthar
Faculty of Fine Arts,
The M. S. University of Baroda, Vadodara
&
Prof. Kiran Sarna,
Department of Visual Arts,
Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan.

ABSTRACT

The paper challenges the interdisciplinary discourse between literature and visual art as it occurred in the region of Gujarat during the twentieth century in the form of foreshadowing of the cooperation between the author Kanaiyalal Munshi and the painter Ravishankar Raval. Through interrogation of the painting Munjal Mehta by Raval, the study provides insight on how the literary version of Munshi of a minister in the Solanki period can be visualized in a complementary medium. Raval combines the Western academic realism and the Indian miniature painting canon with the effects of wash to transform the verbal images of Munshi into a symbolic cultural image. The compositional elements of the portrait, including the use of profile, its gloomy background and architectural reference and symbolic decoration make the historical figure more than a simple person and a symbol of Gujarati pride and continuity of civilizations. This visual translation is conducted in the conditions of the nationalistic milieu in the early twentieth century in India when artists tried to recover the traditions of the native land and confirm the cultural identity. The painting reflects the definition of regional modernism whereby it showed how the Gujarati artists bargained between the tradition and the modernity by synthesis and not by imitation. By providing concrete form to the imaginations of the literary, Raval, in its turn, adds to the historiography and construction of the collective memory of the culture. The Munshi-Raval dialogue in such a way exemplifies the power of intermediary translation to develop the regional identity and highlights the necessity to employ interdisciplinary tools to comprehend the Indian cultural output of the twentieth century.

Keywords: Gujarati cultural history, literary-visual dialogue, nationalist art, regional modernism, intermedial translation.

The cultural history of Gujarat in the twentieth century, there was a significant conversation between literature and visual art and the dialogue between two great personalities, Kanaiyalal Munshi and Ravishankar Raval, is the highlight of the interaction. Kanaiyalal was a renowned writer and historian and created great literary pictures of the characters in history, and Raval, who is popularly referred to as Kalaguru, made literary pictures become real images. The

Author: Arvind Harikrishan Suthar
Email: arvindHsuthar@gmail.com

Received 10 March. 2018; Accepted 21 May. 2018. Available online: 25 August 2018.
Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

[This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](#)



painting Munjal is also one of the important examples of this creative play as the word and the image converge to create the cultural memory.

The historical works of Kanaiyalal Munshi are known to be descriptive in their strength. His stories are not descriptions of the actions; they bring people to life. He has created a psychological presence and visual clarity through well planned language that introduces Munjal Mehta, a minister of the Solanki dynasty of Patan, as an adult, bright, and dignified ruler. Munjal is described as politically visionary, emotionally stable and morally unyielding with authority without aggressiveness and power within moderation. An image so evocative of the most potent verbal images is bound to beg for the visual reply.

Ravishankar Raval did not pursue this literary character as an illustrator but as an interpreter. Being educated in Western academic realism and, at the same time, becoming impacted by the Indian revivalist aesthetics, Raval created the visual language based on synthesis. His training gave him command over anatomy, proportion and tonal modeling and his knowledge of Indian miniature tradition and Indian murals at Ajanta influenced his feeling of line and colour harmony and restraint in composition.



Figure 1: Ravishankar Raval, Munjal, Watercolour on Paper (Wash Technique), mid-20th century

Ravibhai uses the form of the figure in a profile in the painting, Munjal Mehta. The compositional choice instantly reminds the traditions of Indian miniature painting, the side profiles of which in this context are focused on the values of clarity and symbolic dignity. The

profile format minimizes distraction and increases the characterization, which enables the viewer to experience Munjal as a non-portrait body in the space but as a stable iconic presence instead.

This is further enhanced by the dark plain background. Removing all the information about the environment, Ravibhai isolates the figure and focuses the attention only on personality. The black background also increases the brightness of the costume and ornaments and at the same time creates a hint of psychological depth. It will turn the portrait into an iconic image not a story scene.

The anatomical balance of figures attests to the academic training of Ravibhai. The forehead, nose, chin, shoulders, and hands are constructed in proportional form; there is a slight tonal gradation to make the face and torso have volume. There is a lot of careful observation and control of the hand that holds the sword, which is a modelling to show the Western academic realism but does not overwhelm the painting. Rather, realism is in favor of dignified presence of the subject.

The costume and ornaments are not fine items of decoration; these serve as cultural signifiers. The ornamented red turban is a sign of status and power whereas the white clothing and yellow cloth are evidence of the regional dressing. The necklaces of pearl, gold, and the waist belt underline the stature of ministers, and the responsibility and political power are also indicated by the sword in the hand. These facts put the portrait in a historical context.

Of special value is the subtle reference to architectural allusion by the toran motif. This aspect reminds us of the Solanki architectural tradition and brings back to mind the monuments like Rani-ki-Vav and the Sun Temple at Modhera. By this visual implication, Raval links Munjal Mehta to the political history as well as to the architectural and cultural accomplishments of Gujarat making the person a symbol of the shared heritage.

Another historical context of the painting is brought out through the technical performance. Softness and tonal harmony are affected by the use of the wash technique, which is typical of transparent layers of diluted watercolor. The colour is built and diffused without the use of harsh contrasts. The technique was used in movements of nationalist art in the first half of the twentieth century, inspired by Japanese styles and the Bengal School. Through wash, Raval adopts a wider artistic quest of indigenous expression not related to the dominant and mainstream oil-painting practices in the West.

Tonal softness is used together with controlled linear articulation by Raval. The turban, ornaments and fold of the garments are outlined in fine detail as a reference to Indian miniature tradition, especially Jain manuscript and Rajasthani painting artistic styles. The mixture of soft wash and hard line creates a hybrid language of vision neither traditional nor Western but representing a deliberate compromise between tradition and modernity.

The way that the literary description given by Munshi is transformed into visual one can be construed as intermedial translation. Munshi creates Munjal by describing reality, in a poetic manner; Raval discerns these literary hints by posture, facial expression, gesture, and symbolic quality. It is not reproduction at all but a considerate re-creation. Ravibhai chooses the elements to reinforce dignity, authority, and composure thus developing an idealized image of culture.

This idealization should be considered in the context of nationalism of that time. At the beginning of the twentieth century, the Indian artist tried to rediscover the indigenous traditions and assert cultural identity in the time of the colonial rule. Historical figures used to be shown as the representation of the moral strength and continuity of civilization. The tendency is reflected in the works of Raval, especially in his Munjal. The portrait turns out to be not only the image of a historical minister, but also a representation of Gujarati pride and intellectual heritage.

The painting is also a part of the Gujarati modernism at the regional level. It shows that the process of modernity in Gujarat did not occur by simple imitation of the European styles and models but reinterpretation of the local history, literature and visual traditions. The synthesis of academic realism, miniature influence, wash technique, as well as the elements of architectural reference, by Raval are the examples of the regional modernism based on the cultural consciousness.

Critically, it is possible to note that the portrait cuts through historical complexity by focusing on heroism and calmness. But that is but too simple a nationalist visual rhetoric in the sense that seek is not documentary truth but the creation of motivating cultural heroes. In this regard, Munjal is a historical personality as well as a symbolic figure.

Finally, the painting shows the role played by visual art in historiography. It constructs the shared memory providing concrete expression to the literary imagination. Munshi uses words that have visual permanence through the brush of Raval. The writer-painter conversation adds

to the history of Gujarati culture and proves the ability of the creative results of the interdisciplinary interaction.

The work of Ravishankar Raval, Munjal therefore, is on the border of literature and art and nationalism. It represents a moderate combination of realism and tradition, personalities of an individual and a concept of group identity. Being one of the representatives of the regional modernism, it confirms the role of cultural rootedness in the creation of the modern Indian art. Raval not only visualized a character in incarnating the literary vision of Munshi but contributed to the formation of the visual language of self-definition of the twentieth-century Gujarat.

Works Cited:

Bachubhai, R. "Kalavarta: Eak Kala Yug No Ant." Kumar, vol. 649, Kumar Karyalay, Jan. 1978, pp. 20–26.

Majmudar, M. R. Cultural History of Gujarat. Popular Prakashan, 1965.

Munshi, Kanhaiyalal Maneklal. Patan Ni Prabhuta. Gujarati Sahitya Parishad, 1916.

Raval, Ravishankar. Gujarat Ma Kala Na Pagran. Kalaravi Trust, 1991.

---. Kala Chintan – Thoughts of Art. University Grantha Nirman Board, 1972.

Vora, Kalavati. Gujaratna Sahityasarjako – Chitroma Ane Shabdoma. C Supplier Pvt. Ltd., 1959.